

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/745,959 | Applicant(s) LOBL ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Dean O Takaoka | Art Unit 2817 | |

All Participants:

(1) Dean O Takaoka.

(2) Jeffrey L. Kaplan.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 February 2004
Time: 1:00pm
Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

13

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner noted claim 13, dependent from claim 1, was incorrectly indicated as allowable in the prior office action. Claim 1 was cancelled by the Applicant's after final amendment and it was agreed to change dependency of claim 13 from claim 1 to claim 8 with appropriate changes to the preamble by Examiner's amendment in order to place the claims in condition for allowance..